

## Decomposition of Bound Sulfite into Free Sulfite and Determination of Total Sulfite in Wine Using a Microbial Sensor

Hiroshi Kurosawa, Kazuo Nakamura, Yoshifumi Amano,  
Takeshi Sato\*, Nobuko Kubo\*, Hirofumi Akano\*,  
and Yoshiya Kawamura\*

*Department of Applied Chemistry and Biotechnology, Faculty of Engineering,  
Yamanashi University, Kofu, Yamanashi 400, Japan  
\* Nakano Vinegar Co.Ltd., Handa, Aichi 475, Japan*

### Abstract

Total sulfite in wine was determined by use of a microbial sensor consisting of a sulfur-oxidizing autotrophic bacterium (*Thiobacillus thiooxidans* JCM7814) and an oxygen electrode. Although this microbial sensor selectively detected free sulfite, it could not directly apply to the determination of total sulfite. When the microbial sensor method was applied for the determination of total sulfite, bound sulfite had been previously decomposed into free form by treating with alkaline or acid. By treating wine samples with 4 N KOH for 5 min at room temperature, the microbial sensor could determine total sulfite in wines with similar accuracy to the modified Rankine method. The recoveries of total sulfite were 95.5% and 100% in white wine and red wine, respectively. Good recoveries were attained in the same manner by treatment with heating at 85°C for 10 min under acid condition of 0.5 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

### Introduction

Sulfite is traditionally used as a preservative in wine industry to protect wine from undesirable oxidation and microbial spoilage. When sulfite is added to wine, it readily binds with aldehydes, ketones, sugars, pigments, tannins, etc. to form bound sulfite. Such bound sulfite is no more effective as the preservative. Since free sulfite in wine decreases due to the binding reactions with the substances in wine, periodic additions of sulfite are required to maintain the reasonable concentration of free sulfite during fermentation and storage. However, sulfite has harmful effects on health if excessive sulfite is accumulated in wine. To prevent adversary effects of

sulfite on health, total sulfite content in wine should be regulated from the view point of food hygiene. Therefore, a simple and accurate method for total sulfite determination has been requiring as well as free sulfite determination.

Some methods including the Rankine (1) and the Monier-Williams (2) have been recommended for the determination of sulfite in wine. These methods are complicated even for skilled workers and require a large amount of samples and a long period of time for analysis. Alternatively, there are a few methods using enzyme such as sulfite oxidase isolated from chicken liver(3) or a microbial sensor consisting of whole cells of *Thiobacillus*

*thiooxidans* and an oxygen electrode(4,7). The enzymatic method did not provide reliable results because the presence of pigments or ascorbic acid in sample interfered with an accurate quantitation of sulfite. In addition, the enzyme itself is unstable in such sample. The microbial sensor(4,7) is preferable for determination of sulfite due to its specificity to sulfite, stability of the cells, and rapid measurement. The microbial sensor, however, could not apply to total sulfite determination in sample, or it was useful only for measuring free sulfite.

In this study, we have investigated pretreatment methods of wine samples for decomposing bound sulfite into free form in order to apply the microbial sensor to total sulfite determination.

#### Materials and Methods

**Reagents.** The following reagents were prepared freshly before use. Standard free sulfite solution: 406.5mg of sodium bisulfite ( $\text{NaHSO}_3$ ) was dissolved in distilled water. The solution was then put into a 250ml volumetric flask and filled up to the mark with distilled water. This solution contains 1000  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  of sulfite as  $\text{SO}_2$ . The solution was properly diluted to prepare lower concentrations of sulfite. Standard bound sulfite solution: 240.8mg of glutaraldehyde sodium bisulfite addition compound (Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc. USA) was dissolved in distilled water and then filled up to 1000ml. This solution contains 100  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  of sulfite as  $\text{SO}_2$ . Glutaraldehyde sodium bisulfite addition compound was abbreviated to  $\text{GANa-SO}_2$ .

**Alkaline treatment for decomposing bound sulfite.** Various concentrations of sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide were used as alkaline solutions to decompose bound sulfite. An alkaline solution described by Hamano *et al.* (5) was also prepared as follows. (A) 5% ferrous sulfate solution: 5g of  $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was dissolved in 0.01N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and filled up to 100 ml. (B) Alkaline extractant: 40g of sodium hydroxide and 25g of potassium

sodium tartrate (Rochelle salt) were dissolved in distilled water and filled up to 1000ml. (C) Alkaline solution for treatment: 25ml of the solution (A) was added to 50ml of the extractant (B). Hereafter, this mixed alkaline solution (C) is referred to as the Hamano solution.

Five milliliter of sample solution was mixed with 7.5ml of alkaline solution or the Hamano's solution described above, and the mixture was left for various period at room temperature for decomposing bound sulfite.

#### Acid treatment for decomposing bound sulfite

Bound sulfite was decomposed into free form by heating under acid condition in the same manner as described by Kawamura *et al.* (6). Samples were heated at 85°C for 10min (holding time) under the acid condition of 0.1N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and then cooled down to room temperature.

**Determination of total sulfite.** After decomposing bound sulfite into free sulfite, the free sulfite formed was determined by microbial sensor ( $\text{SO}_2$  METER NA-S01; Nakano Vinegar Co. Ltd., Japan). The microbial sensor consists of a sulfur-oxidizing bacterium, *Thiobacillus thiooxidans* JCM7814 and an oxygen electrode. Before samples were applied to the microbial sensor, the pH of the samples was adjusted to below 2.0 by the addition of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Further details of this microbial sensor method were reported in the previous papers (6,7). As a comparison, total sulfite concentration of untreated sample was determined by the modified Rankine method (8). The recovery percent of total sulfite by the microbial sensor method represented a relative value regarding the value measured by the modified Rankine method as 100%.

**Sample.** White wine and red wine were purchased from market.

#### Results

**Alkaline treatment with the Hamano's alkaline solution.** Effect of treatments on recovery

of total sulfite from GANa-SO<sub>2</sub> and wine samples by the microbial sensor are shown in Table 1. All of the three samples were treated with the Hamano's alkaline solution. When GANa-SO<sub>2</sub> sample was prepared in order to obtain 100 µg/ml of sulfite concentration, the recoveries of 80.7% and 94.1% were obtained by 5 and 15 min of treatment, respectively. Even when the samples were treated for 30 min, the recoveries from the wine samples were lower than 80%. These results indicate that the Hamano's alkaline solution was unsuitable to decompose bound sulfite in wine samples by the treatment less than 30 min.

Table 1. Recoveries of total sulfite from standard bound sulfite and wine samples treated with the Hamano's alkaline solution.

Sample	Treatment time [min]	Found SO <sub>2</sub> [µg/ml]	Recovery [%]
GANa-SO <sub>2</sub> * <sup>1</sup>	5	80.7	80.7
	15	94.1	94.1
	30	94.1	94.1
White wine* <sup>2</sup>	5	49.8	69.8
	15	50.8	71.2
	30	54.7	76.7
Red wine* <sup>2</sup>	5	94.0	87.6
	15	81.7	58.8
	30	81.7	58.8

\*<sup>1</sup> Glutaraldehyde sodium bisulfite addition compound. Applied sulfite content was 100 µg/ml.

\*<sup>2</sup> Total sulfite content estimated by the modified Rankine method: White wine; 71.3 µg/ml, red wine; 139 µg/ml.

**Determination of total sulfite in wine treated with alkaline solution.** To adopt the microbial sensor method as an alternative to the modified Rankine method, desirable treatment time should be less than 5 min. We investigated the composition of alkaline solution to improve the recoveries of total sulfite from wine. Table 2 shows the recoveries of total sulfite from white wine by the microbial sensor. All of the treatments were conducted for 5 min at room temperature. As can be seen in Table 2, alkaline solution of KOH was more effective to recover total sulfite than NaOH. When 4 N KOH was used in the treatment, the most favorable recovery of 95.5% was obtained. Addition of Rochelle salt to 4 N NaOH slightly improved the recovery, but the addition of the

Table 2. Effect of composition of alkaline solution on recoveries of total sulfite from white wine\*.

Alkaline solution	Found SO <sub>2</sub> [µg/ml]	Recovery [%]
Hamano's alkaline	70.7	81.5
1N NaOH	96.0	83.5
1N KOH	101.8	88.4
4N NaOH	96.0	83.5
4N KOH	109.8	95.5
4N NaOH + Rochelle salt	104.5	90.9
4N KOH + Rochelle salt	93.7	81.5

\* Total sulfite content in white wine estimated by the modified Rankine method was 115 µg/ml.

salt to 4 N KOH gave a negative effect to the recovery.

Red wine was also treated with 4 N KOH for 5 min at room temperature, and then total sulfite was measured by the microbial sensor. The recoveries of total sulfite from wine samples were summarized in Table 3. The measured values of total sulfite concentration in wine samples by the two methods almost agreed.

Table 3. Determination of total sulfite in wine treated with alkaline of 4N KOH.

Sample	Found SO <sub>2</sub> [µg/ml]		Recovery [%]
	Rankine*	Sensor	
White wine	115.0	109.8	95.5
Red wine	69.0	69.3	100.4

\* The sample was analyzed by the modified Rankine method without alkaline treatment by 4N KOH.

**Determination of total sulfite in wine treated with heating under acid condition.** Total sulfite in wine samples were decomposed into free form by acid treatment with heating(6), and then determined by the microbial sensor. As shown in Table 4, the recoveries were 104.2% and 94.3% in white wine and red wine, respectively. The acid treatment with heating, as well as the alkaline treatment with 4 N

Table 4. Determination of total sulfite from wine treated with heating at 85°C under acid condition of 0.5N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

Sample	Found SO <sub>2</sub> [µg/ml]		Recovery [%]
	Rankine*	Sensor	
White wine	120.0	125.0	104.2
Red wine	173.2	163.3	94.3

\* The sample was analyzed by the modified Rankine method without acid treatment by 0.5N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

KOH at room temperature, allowed the microbial sensor to determine total sulfite with good recovery.

#### Discussion

It was found that either alkaline or acid treatment was effective to decompose bound sulfite in wine samples. Although the time requiring for alkaline treatment was shorter than acid treatment, the pH of the alkaline treated sample had to be adjusted to below 2.0 before the measurement by the microbial sensor. The acid treatment did not need the pH adjustment before measurement, while heating and cooling operations were necessary. Employing any treatment way, total sulfite in wine was detectable by the microbial sensor in similar accuracy to the modified Rankine method.

#### References

- 1) Rankine, B.C.: New method for determining sulfur dioxide in wine. *Aust. Wine Brewing Spirit Rev.*, **80**, 14-16(1962).
- 2) AOAC: Official Methods of Analysis, p.391-392: Horwitz, W.(ed.). Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Arlington (1984).
- 3) Beutler, H.O.: A new enzymatic method for determination of sulphite in food. *Food Chemistry*, **15**, 157-164(1984).
- 4) Nakamura, K., Amano, Y., and Nakayama O.: Determination of free sulphite in wine using a microbial sensor. *Appl. Microbiol Biotechnol.*, **31**, 351-354(1989).
- 5) Hamano, T., Mitsunashi, Y., Matsuki, Y., Ikuzawa, M., Fujita, K., Izumi, T., Adachi T., Nonogi, H., Fuke, T., Suzuki, H., Toyoda, M., Ito, Y., and Iwaida, M.: Application of gas chromatography for the separate determination of free and combined sulphites in foods. I. *Z. Lebensm. Unters Forsch.*, **168**, 195-199(1979).
- 6) Kawamura, Y., Sato, T., Kubo, N., Akano H., Kurosawa, H., Nakamura, K., Amano, Y., Ito Y., and Fujimaki, M.: Measurement of sulfur dioxide in foods by biosensor method. *Nihon Shokuhin Kogyo Gakukaishi* **39**, (1992) in press.
- 7) Kurosawa, H., Rosadi, D., Nakamura, K. and Amano, Y.: Determination of sulfur dioxide in wine and dried fruits using a microbial sensor. *J. Inst. Enol. Vitic Yamanashi Univ.*, **25**, 15-19(1990).
- 8) Fujita, K., Ikuzawa, M., Izumi, T., Hamano T., Mitsunashi, Y., Matsuki, Y., Adachi T., Nonogi, H., Fuke, T., Suzuki, H., Toyoda, M., Ito, Y., Iwaida, M.: Establishment of a modified Rankine method for the separate determination of free and combined sulphites in foods. III. *Z. Lebensm. Unters Forsch.*, **168**, 206-211(1979).